

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of:

*Protecting and Promoting the
Open Internet*

)
)
) Docket No. 14-28
)
)

Motion for Protective or Clarifying Order
re: fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2017/db020
3/DOC-343346A1.pdf

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Commission Chairman Ajit Pai is alleged to have released the following statement creating a need for a more specific use of English for this litigant to understand this FIAT and determine if relevant to proceedings this litigant is involved in before the Federal Communications Commission. The request for clarification is done before seeking redress for the allegation of perhaps revoking carefully considered Federal Communications Commission Reports and Orders in proceedings 16-28 and 16-106 in the Eastern District Court of Arkansas.

WASHINGTON, February 3, 2017 – Federal Communications Commission Chairman Ajit Pai issued the following statement today on FCC rescissions of midnight regulations:

“In the **waning days** of the last Administration, the Federal Communications Commission's Bureaus and Offices released a series of **controversial orders and reports**. In some cases, Commissioners were given no advance notice whatsoever of **these midnight regulations**. In other cases, **they were issued** over the objection of **two of the four Commissioners**. **And in all cases, their release** ran contrary to the wishes expressed by the leadership of our congressional oversight committees. **These last-minute actions**, which did not enjoy the support of the majority of Commissioners at the time they were taken, should not bind us going forward. Accordingly, they are being revoked.”

II. Reason Protective or Clarifying Order is Warranted

In order to better protect personal interests in Federal Communications Commission in proceedings 14-28 and 16-106; This litigant requests either an “Order of Protection” or an “Order Clarifying” the statement above after released by Chairman Commissioner Pai before being reappointed for a new term as Commissioner, though after being foisted on U.S. citizens by President Trump.

This litigant spent thousands of legal hours and pursued five Federal Communications Commission Commissioners in United States District Court for the *pornography addicted* Western District of Arkansas seeking “online” be recognized as the common wire carrier “online” has always been. This demand was ALMOST met on February 26, 2015 in *Neeley Jr. v 5 Federal Communications Commissioners, et. al. (14-cv-05135)(14-3447)*, while still pending in the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. The 14-28 proceeding begun on May 15, 2014 and was the most important FCC ruling in all of history and was only the beginning for making broadband ubiquitous.

Litigant, Curtis J Neeley Jr., request specific dates instead of the ("*waning days*") colloquialism used and the specific orders “*revoked*” versus the ("*controversial orders and reports*") colloquialism used. Litigant, Curtis J Neeley Jr., request the regulations “*revoked*” instead of the ("*these midnight regulations*") colloquialism used and seeks which reports or order numbers were “*revoked*” instead of ("*[t]hey were issued*") as used. Where was the fifth voting commissioner during ("*two of the four Commissioners*") deception and which cases were the mysterious report or orders released for versus the ("*[a]nd in all cases, their release*") colloquialism used for which actions instead of the ("*[t]hese last minute actions*") colloquialism used.

Where AGAIN was the fifth commissioner when the ("the majority of Commissioners at the time they were taken") falsehood was used and which orders are being revoked and by what authority is this done instead of ("*they are being revoked*"), as used in the statement.

III. CONCLUSION

Opposition filed to the Petitions for Reconsideration in Proceeding 16-106 may or may not be impacted by the useless statement explained above. The FCC should not allow ANY-SIZE ISPs to continue collecting and selling proprietary customer information. Despite the “*open nternet*” becoming a “*hazardous nuisance*” for 18+ years and encouraging both (consumption and production) of pornography as negatively impacts humanity and is obvious. ISP competition would thrive if the FCC allowed/encouraged portable FM radios to become portable 'hot-spots' and include USB modems for distributing wi-fi.

This litigant asks the FCC Commissioners to carefully consider clarification of the useless statement *allegedly* made by Chairman Commissioner Ajit Pai and issue a Protective Order requiring no prior orders be revoked by FIAT. FCC Commissioners must carefully consider continuing communications harms to all of humanity like being allowed counter to law due to a VOID SCOTUS ruling from 1997. Curtis J. Neeley Jr. will adamantly oppose continued malfeasance, “*till the right thing is done'*”, and doubts this can be done except via a new Supreme Court. Will see you there.

Semi-Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Curtis J. Neeley Jr
Curtis J. Neeley Jr
Uniquely Concerned Citizen Litigant
Newark, AR 72562
(479) 263-4795

February 06, 2017

¹ This is the response the dying mother of Curtis J. Neeley Jr. gave when asked whether or not to accept a \$5,000,000.00 *verbal* settlement offer from GOOG Inc to wholly settle and drop the FCC common carrier claim also.

DOES ANYONE BELIEVE Curtis J. Neeley Jr. WILL STOP PURSUING A SAFE COMMON CARRIER OF WIRE?